



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/267,204	03/12/1999	CHRISTOPHER N. ELSBREE	ICO-001(4594	6706
21323 7	590 07/22/2004		EXAMINER	
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			но, тне т	
HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	A 02110		2126	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.2.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/267,204	ELSBREE, CHRISTOPHER N.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The Thanh Ho	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	-					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	·				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	<u>2/23/2003</u> .				
10. Other:						
BEST AV	AILABLE COPY	Snelas				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) The request for reconsideration filed 5/25/2004 has been fully considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because the applicant argued the same arguments as set forth in the amendment received 12/19/2003. See Examiner's rejection argument in the Final Rejection

The applicant argued Proskauer does not teach creating the controls (Remarks, last paragraph page 7). In response, Proskauer (lines 47-61 column 2) teaches creating a simple operator interface to the test system using self-contained ActiveX controls each of which provide an interface to a specific part of the overall test system. The production interface uses an ActiveX "tester control" which provides an application programming interface to the rest of the software control system. The system provides the operator the ability to control the test system. The reference meets the limitation as claimed.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY